

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/605,585	10/10/2003	Masaki Takaoka	RHM-US020052	25,84
22919 7:	590 11/01/2006		EXAM	INER
GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP			NADAV, ORI	
	REET, NW, SUITE 700 N, DC 20036-2680	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	11, 50 20050 2000		2811	*
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/605,585	TAKAOKA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ori Nadav	. 2811
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Moute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status ·		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	August 2006.	
	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	
Disposition of Claims		
	alla adla n	
4) Claim(s) 1.4 and 13 is/are pending in the app		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn from consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 13</u> is/are rejected.		·
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement	•
or orallings are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) Objected to	o by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawir	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın nriority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in phoney under do d.d.d.	3 1 10(4) (4) 01 (1).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		··
application from the International Bure	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ot received.
	•	
	·	
Attachment(s)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		r Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	<u> </u>
5. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20061027
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	,

Application/Control Number: 10/605,585

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishibashi (5,202,281).

Ishibashi teaches in figures 3 or 4 and related text a semiconductor device, comprising:

a unitary and non-layered semiconductor substrate 4 comprising a thin portion that is thinner than adjacent portions of the semiconductor substrate, and a recessed portion formed below the thin portions;

wherein the etching rate of the thin portion is slower than that of the surrounding portions of the semiconductor substrate (since a dopant 3 is infused in the thin portion that is immediately adjacent in the at least one through hole),

at least one through hole is formed in the thin portion that extends from the recessed portion, and entirely through the thin portion to the upper surface of the semiconductor substrate 4.

Regarding claim 13, Ishibashi teaches that semiconductor substrate 4 has a specific resistance (column 3, lines 20-21). This means that the substrate must be doped.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,585

Art Unit: 2811

Therefore, by considering the "dopant" as the dopant with which the entire substrate is doped, then Ishibashi teaches a dopant is infused in the entire thin portion, as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/3

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ishibashi

Ishibashi teaches in figures 3 or 4 and related text substantially the entire claimed structure, as applied to claim 1 above, except a thin portion is formed by means of a selective oxide film.

The claimed limitations of a thin portion being formed by means of a selective oxide film are process limitations which would not carry patentable weight in this claim drawn to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced.

Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is the

Art Unit: 2811

patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that the applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that the doped portion of the thin portion in the Ishibashi reference is not immediately adjacent to the through hole, but rather far removed from the through hole.

Positioning an element "immediately adjacent" or "far" from another element is a relative location, which would not specify the exact location of the first element with respect to the second element.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ori Nadav whose telephone number is 571-272-1660. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7 AM to 4 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on 571-272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,585

Art Unit: 2811

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

O.N. 10/27/06 ORI NADAV PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800